

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,214	04/10/2006	Kaoru Hirai	10873.1820USWO	3654	
52835 HAMRE, SCI	7590 04/15/200 IUMANN, MUELLER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2902			WOOD, A	WOOD, AMANDA P	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0902	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1657		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/575,214	HIRAI, KAORU	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AMANDA P. WOOD	1657	

	AMANDA P. WOOD	1657	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appr Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONITHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the act or schended period for reply will, by attailute, Any reply received by the Office later than three mothals after the mailing carend patter torm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or e			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	pted or b) objected to by the land in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)	ο 🗆	(DTO 440)	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/DE)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

Application/Control Number: 10/575,214

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17, drawn to a method of measuring a glycated amine.

Group II, claim(s) 18-21, drawn to a reagent kit comprising a first reagent and a second reagent.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the method of Invention I and the reagent kit of Invention II was known in the art at the time the claimed invention was made (see, for example, Yonehara et al EP 1443114 A1, page 4, lines 15-30 and page 11, lines 40-57). Since the method and reagent kit of Inventions I and II were known in the art at the time the claimed invention were made, they do not constitute special technical features, and therefore do not relate to a single general inventive concept.

Election of Species Requirement

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- (1) FAOD enzymes specific for:
 - (a) a glycated α-amino group of an amino acid residue

Application/Control Number: 10/575,214 Page 3

Art Unit: 1652

(b) a glycated side chain of an amino acid residue

(c) both a glycated α -amino group of an amino acid residue and

glycated side chain of an amino acid residue

(2) Glycated amine as the analyte:

- (a) glycated peptide
- (b) glycated protein
- (c) glycated amine present in a blood cell
- (d) glycated hemoglobin
- (3) Surfactants:
 - (a) amphoteric surfactants
 - (b) anionic surfactants
 - (c) cationic surfactants

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,214

Art Unit: 1652

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 1 and 9 correspond to the first species election requirement.

Claims 1, 11, 12, and 13 correspond to the second species election requirement.

Claims 1, 16 and 17 correspond to the third species election requirement.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-8, 10, 14, 15, and 18-21.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species corresponding to the groups of "FAOD enzymes," "Glycated amine" and "surfactants" do not relate to single general inventive concepts because the members of these groups lack the same special technical features (i.e., the members of each of these groups were well-known in the art at the time the claimed invention was made, and therefore, are not considered to have special technical features which would relate them under a single general inventive concept).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Right to Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are

Application/Control Number: 10/575,214

Art Unit: 1652

subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/575,214

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMANDA P. WOOD whose telephone number is (571)272-8141. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

APW Examiner Art Unit 1657 /Robert B Mondesi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652 April 12, 2008